

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/770,061	01/24/2001		Russell D. Homer	01 P 7429 US	7192
26161	7590	04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI 225 FRANK		SON PC	NGUYEN, VAN KIM T		
BOSTON,		0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2151	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/770,061	HOMER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151

Potoro the Eiling of an Anneal Priof	.,								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPPEAR APPEAR APPEA</li></ol>	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a 37(a).	the Notice of Notice of						
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a  the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).						
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)							
•									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations, "recombining the independent data stream and the independent clock stream to form a recombined clock-data stream; and re-synchronizing the recombined clock-data stream to the first clock domain" in claim 1, raise new issues which would require further considereation and/or search.

RUPAL DHARIA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER